

J6OAADENC

Conference

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x

3 ANNA DENICOLO,

4 Plaintiff,

5 v.

16 CV 7416 (JGK)

6 THE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE  
7 CITY OF NEW YORK and/or the  
8 DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION OF THE  
9 CITY OF NEW YORK,

Defendants.

-----x

10 New York, N.Y.  
11 June 24, 2019  
12 11:30 a.m.

Before:

13 HON. JOHN G. KOELTL,

14 District Judge

15 APPEARANCES

16 JACOB FUCHSBERG LAW FIRM  
Attorney for Plaintiff Denicolo  
17 BY: EDWARD J. HYNES

18 NEW YORK CITY LAW DEPARTMENT  
Attorneys for Defendants BOE/Dept. of Ed  
19 BY: KATARINA SOULIOPOLOUS

J6OAADENC

Conference

1 (Case called)

2 MR. HYNES: Good morning, your Honor.

3 Edward Hines, Jacob Fuchsberg law firm for the  
4 plaintiff and plaintiff, Ms. Denicolo, is also present.

5 THE COURT: OK.

6 MS. SOULIOPOLOUS: Good morning, your Honor.

7 Attorney Katarina Souliopolous, here from the Office  
8 of the Corporation Counsel, appearing on behalf of all  
9 defendants.

10 THE COURT: OK. The matter is on today because the  
11 plaintiff's law firm wants to withdraw from representing the  
12 plaintiff.

13 Ms. Denicolo, any objection to your lawyers  
14 withdrawing?

15 MS. DENICOLO: Your Honor, with your permission --

16 THE COURT: Could you please keep your voice up and  
17 speak into the microphone. Thank you.

18 MS. DENICOLO: Your Honor, with your permission I'd  
19 like to speak to Mr. Fuchsberg's withdrawal document 5658  
20 received on June 6, 2019.

21 THE COURT: Please keep your voice up.

22 MS. DENICOLO: On March 17, 2016, I had my first  
23 meeting with Mr. Fuchsberg and then attorney, Allison Stein. I  
24 informed them that I had been advocating for children since  
25 2010 and had filed 4 OCR complaints against the school PS 195

J6OAADENC

Conference

1 and the school employee from 2010 to 2016. The OCR complaints  
2 pertained to students with disabilities and harassment. The  
3 school was cited by OCR for student disability violations and  
4 the principal was cited for making intimidating comments at a  
5 staff meeting.

6 In 2013/14, along with several parent we filed two  
7 extensive reports with OCR including retaliation. And as of  
8 today OCR is still pending conclusion of this investigation.

9 Mr. Fuchsberg and Ms. Stein agreed I had a strong case  
10 for retaliation whistleblowing. And during a meeting on  
11 August 17, 2016, I was informed Ms. Stein would be the attorney  
12 on the case and would be working on the appeal and I was  
13 charged seven thousand dollars.

14 On February 1, 2018, I was introduced to Mr. Hynes as  
15 my new attorney. He asked Mr. Fuchsberg at the time if I had  
16 filed with EEOC. Mr. Fuchsberg state no. As a legal matter,  
17 no information had been ever been provided or discussed  
18 regarding EEOC and so I gave it little importance.

19 On January 4, 2019 during my meeting with Mr. Hynes  
20 and after several inquiries, Mr. Hynes finally informed me that  
21 the BOE had informed him that they had not been able to contact  
22 or locate the parents who filed OCR complaints in 2013/14 and  
23 could not release any information to him pertaining to parent  
24 locations or school records.

25 On February 11, 2019 I e-mailed Mr. Hynes and asked

J6OAADENC

Conference

1 him to contact OCR to follow-up on the status of their  
2 investigation. He forwarded their e-mail to me confirming the  
3 investigation is still pending and ongoing. There were several  
4 events prior to Mr. Hynes' arrival to the firm which caused  
5 concern. I last spoke to Ms. Stein on February 7, 2017. And  
6 from February 8 through April 20, 2017, I had not heard from  
7 the Fuchsberg firm.

8 On April 21 I called Mr. Fuchsberg who stated  
9 Ms. Stein left the firm and my new attorney was Ms. Adams. I  
10 later learned Ms. Adams was hired in February 20, 2017. I felt  
11 completely abandoned by this news. Neither Ms. Stein nor  
12 Mr. Fuchsberg contacted me regarding this change and it felt  
13 troublesome to me.

14 I was introduced to Ms. Adams on May 3, 2017 when  
15 meeting Ms. Adams she was unable to provide me with my case  
16 status. She stated, quote, I haven't caught up yet at this  
17 meeting. Mr. Fuchsberg informed me that there would be a delay  
18 and, quote, was suggested by the judge that we wait until the  
19 decision of the appeal go through before proceeding with the  
20 civil case, and based on the decision there would have to file  
21 different motions.

22 On May 22, 2017, I attended the Article 75 or oral  
23 argument appeal hearing. Ms. Adams was present. Judge Hagler  
24 spoke to both parties' attorneys at the bench. The BOE did not  
25 follow procedure regarding their reply and Judge Hagler

J60AADENC

Conference

1 rescheduled the hearing for July 24, 2017.

2 Ms. Adams was absent. Judge Hagler addresses  
3 Mr. Fuchsberg at the bench. Mr. Fuchsberg did not submit his  
4 rebuttal at this hearing. Judge Hagler agrees to hear the  
5 argument and reschedule the hearing for September 18, 2017.

6 On September 18, 2019 Ms. Adams is present -- sorry --  
7 2017 Ms. Adams is present for the Article 75 hearing but only  
8 Mr. Fuchsberg will present. There were several inaccurate  
9 statements made by Mr. Fuchsberg in his oral delivery that were  
10 very concerning to me. On page 2, line 22, 23 and 24 of the  
11 transcript Mr. Fuchsberg says, quote, and so she is now in a  
12 new school. I'm not sure it was her first year or not. It may  
13 have been or maybe her second year. And of quote.

14 Mr. Fuchsberg's statement discards everything that  
15 occurred at the school five years prior to termination. Later  
16 when I requested court hearing transcripts of the May 22, 2017  
17 and July 24, 2017 court sessions, Ms. Adams stated there were  
18 none for those two dates.

19 Another inaccurate statement made by Mr. Fuchsberg at  
20 the September 18, 2017 Article 75 hearing, appears on page 18,  
21 line nine and 10 of the transcript. Mr. Fuchsberg says, quote,  
22 by the way, she used to be a special education teacher at  
23 another school. On page 20, line 25 and 26 Judge Hagler  
24 states, quote, I am not sure if the teacher had prepared for  
25 such a situation or any teacher. Continued quote on page 21,

J6OAADENC

Conference

1 line two and three. Quote, unless you are a special education  
2 teacher to be truthful. End of quote. I am never been a  
3 special education teacher, your Honor, and I believe these  
4 statements may have affected the decision but I'm not sure.

5 On September 6, 2018, during the civil case hearing  
6 Mr. Fuchsberg informed the judge --

7 I'm sorry. I may mispronounce your name. I  
8 apologize. Is it "Koeltl"?

9 THE COURT: "Koeltl".

10 MS. DENICOLA: That his client, me, wishes to remove  
11 the ADA and RA from the claim. Mr. Fuchsberg prior to this  
12 request had never discussed this with me and I didn't  
13 understand the implications of removing these claims and I  
14 didn't understand how this would affect my case. On  
15 September 18, 2018, I e-mailed Mr. Fuchsberg and citing the  
16 document paragraph from the decision, I asked Mr. Fuchsberg to  
17 explain. His e-mail response only confused me more. What was  
18 meant by, quote, we do not meet the legal prerequisite by  
19 filing first an administrative claim?

20 On September 24, 2018, I e-mailed Mr. Fuchsberg again  
21 and CC'd Mr. Hynes regarding the withdrawal of the ADA and RA  
22 citing page two of the judge's decision document, specifically,  
23 page 22 and an explanation was never provided regarding this  
24 matter.

25 On October 9, 2018, Mr. Hynes provided me a copy of

J6OAADENC

Conference

1 claims document for the 2015/16 termination year stating this  
2 was our case and our work would focus mostly on the claims.  
3 The claim letters were labeled by letters "A" through "U". On  
4 October 10, 11 around 12, we communicated by e-mail. Mr. Hynes  
5 was asking me to, quote, address each letter and enter my  
6 thoughts into the Word document for each point.

7 In my e-mail to Mr. Hynes I explained that my computer  
8 had been used to communicate with the BOE school e-mail  
9 systems, the school data system and with the administration and  
10 that I was uncomfortable putting my case on the Internet. I  
11 wanted to protect the confidentiality and integrity of the  
12 case.

13 In Mr. Hynes' October 12, 2018 e-mail he agreed to let  
14 me write my responses to each letter claimed by hand. I was  
15 somewhat confused by Mr. Hynes' request to enter claim letters  
16 into a Word document because between March 17, 2016, through  
17 August 22, 2016, I delivered to Ms. Stein three large binders  
18 containing pages of typed transcripts, timelines referencing  
19 data events and documents by number, as well as supporting  
20 documentation. Sorry. I'm so dry.

21 Binders including 2015/16 document letters of  
22 reprimand and misconduct case documentation and transcripts. A  
23 second binder pertained to all OCR 2010 through 2016. And a  
24 third binder consisted of retaliation and harassment documents  
25 for from 2010 to 2015.

J60AADENC

Conference

1 Your Honor, I do have exhibits to support this.

2 Ms. Stein informed me that she would scan the  
3 transcripts and documents around file them into the Fuchsberg  
4 computer system. I didn't understand why Mr. Hynes requested I  
5 write everything again. Mr. Hynes' e-mail, he e-mailed the  
6 trail trial disclosure document for October 19 meeting. At  
7 that meeting we completed claims letter A, B and half of C and  
8 worked on the initial document disclosure document.

9 On October 29 I fell and fractured my wrist. It was  
10 placed in a cast and I informed Mr. Hynes by e-mail. We met  
11 again on November 16, 2018 to complete claims letter C and D.  
12 From November 17 through January 3, 2019, Mr. Hynes canceled  
13 and rescheduled and then canceled several appointments. He  
14 finally agreed to meet with me on January 4, 2019, when we  
15 completed claims letter E and F.

16 During our February 15 meeting we completed claims  
17 letter G. This was to be the last time we worked on claim  
18 letters, with claim letters H through U remaining. During our  
19 discussion regarding the February 11, 2019 e-mail he forwarded  
20 from OCR Mr. Hynes stated the case is being investigated but  
21 had passed the statute of limitation. We may not need to use  
22 the documents. He asked that I on March 4 drop off the copies  
23 of OCR consent forms parents signed in 2014. Then in March 14,  
24 2019 I received a letter from the Fuchsberg firm notifying me  
25 of the May 2 deposition hearing. On April 11 Mr. Hynes called



J6OAADENC

Conference

1 to cancel. He stated not to worry that claims letters, we may  
2 not need them. It's going to be about the OCR case. I became  
3 very upset because we had not worked on OCR cases and felt  
4 unprepared for the May 2 deposition hearing. I asked Mr. Hynes  
5 to please revisit the OCR report and schedule an appointment  
6 which he refused to do and he directed me to speak to  
7 Mr. Fuchsberg.

8 I called Mr. Fuchsberg on his cellphone. He stated he  
9 would speak with Mr. Hynes. Between April 15 and April 19 of  
10 2019 he began a series of e-mail correspondence with  
11 Mr. Fuchsberg regarding my requested appointment.  
12 Mr. Fuchsberg would provide the day for the appointment but not  
13 the time.

14 On April 29, 2019, Friday morning, I called  
15 Mr. Fuchsberg to confirm the time for our Monday's appointment,  
16 which he did. And during the phone conference Mr. Fuchsberg  
17 expressed concern regarding, quote, letters of complaints from  
18 parents. I informed Mr. Fuchsberg I had no knowledge, nor I  
19 had ever seen a parent letter of complaint against me.

20 I asked to see these parent letters and Mr. Fuchsberg  
21 agreed to leave the packet for me at the front of the desk and  
22 added I could review the 3020 transcript and get ready for the  
23 deposition. I then e-mailed Mr. Fuchsberg and confirmed  
24 Monday's appointment. At five o'clock p.m. I picked up the  
25 packet. I did not review its contents. I focused on

J60AADENC

Conference

1 completing the claims letter "H" through "U" for Monday's  
2 appointment.

3 On Monday April 22, 2019, I was completely blindsided  
4 I but what was to come. I arrived with all the completed claim  
5 letters "H" through "U". Mr. Fuchsberg slid the appellate  
6 decision document over to me and stated, they sustained the  
7 termination decision. He have could no longer continue with  
8 the case. Mr. Hynes asked if I had reviewed the contents of  
9 the packet and then I stated I had not because I worked on  
10 completing the claims letters, Mr. Hynes began to yell.

11 Your Honor, it's important to understand that years of  
12 suffering from depression and high anxiety and trauma as a  
13 result of what happened to me at PS 195, along with the ordeal  
14 of this case I felt completely overload. It was all too  
15 overwhelming. My thoughts at the time was to get through the  
16 claims and then address the packet contents. Mr. Hynes left  
17 the conference room and Mr. Fuchsberg briefly mentioned  
18 something about a \$300,000 settlement. Nothing more was  
19 discussed.

20 On April 23 --

21 THE COURT: Ms. Denicolo, let me just caution you,  
22 first of all, I don't get involved in settlement discussions.

23 Second, you don't have to reveal to me any attorney  
24 client discussions that you have had with the Fuchsberg firm  
25 because if you do, that could be considered to be a waiver of

J60AADENC

Conference

1 any attorney/client privilege that you have had with the  
2 Fuchsberg firm.

3 The only purpose for today's conference is the  
4 Fuchsberg firm has submitted an application to withdraw from  
5 representing you in this case. And based upon -- and I'll let  
6 you finish saying whatever you want to say -- but I just want  
7 to make sure that you don't give up any rights that you  
8 otherwise have by saying what you are saying. That's why I  
9 gave you the caution when you mentioned something about a  
10 settlement offer and also then talked about what your  
11 conversation was with Mr. Fuchsberg.

12 As I said, the only reason for today's hearing is the  
13 application by the Fuchsberg firm to withdraw from representing  
14 you. And it seems apparent to me but you haven't said it one  
15 way or another, that not only don't you oppose the Fuchsberg  
16 firm's withdrawal from representing you but there are conflicts  
17 between you and the Fuchsberg firm over the way in which the  
18 Fuchsberg firm has been representing you in this case.

19 The result of that would be that the application by  
20 the Fuchsberg firm to represent you would be granted and then  
21 the question, and you would have the opportunity then to get  
22 another lawyer to represent you and I would give you the time  
23 to do that. And then there would be the issue of are there any  
24 disputes over what are called retaining liens and charging  
25 liens and I don't know if the Fuchsberg firm is even seeking

J6OAADENC

Conference

1 any of that but if there were disputes over that I  
2 traditionally send those to the magistrate judge.

3 MR. HYNES: Your Honor, we're not asserting any sort  
4 of charging lien.

5 THE COURT: No retaining liens, no charging liens?

6 MR. HYNES: No.

7 THE COURT: I just wanted to place that into  
8 perspective. You are welcomed to continue.

9 MS. DENICOLA: I don't know, your Honor, the  
10 ramifications of counsel's withdrawal from the case and how  
11 that affect my case. And I don't know the ramifications of  
12 differences between discharging an attorney, how would that  
13 affect my case.

14 THE COURT: Right. There are consequences for  
15 so-called charging liens and retaining liens based upon the  
16 circumstances under which lawyers cease to represent a client.  
17 In this case the outgoing lawyers, the Fuchsberg firm, is not  
18 seeking any charging liens or retaining liens. A charging lien  
19 means that if the plaintiff gets a recovery in the action and  
20 an attorney has a, quote, charging lien, then the outgoing  
21 attorney has a right to some portion of recovery that the  
22 plaintiff eventually gets in the case. And the circumstances  
23 under which the attorney leaves can have an affect on whether  
24 the attorney is entitled to a charging lien or not. In this  
25 case the outgoing lawyers are not seeking a charging lien.

J6OAADENC

Conference

1           A retaining lien is the outgoing lawyers are able to  
2 withhold the file, any papers that they have in the case until  
3 they're paid for any work that they've done to date.

4           In this case the lawyers are not seeking a retaining  
5 lien. What that means is the Fuchsberg firm is perfectly  
6 prepared to deliver to you your entire file that they have  
7 which you can then give to a new lawyer. And I take it that  
8 the Fuchsberg firm is not seeking any unpaid fees or anything  
9 like that.

10           MR. HYNES: No, your Honor.

11           THE COURT: OK. So your outgoing liens are not  
12 seeking any fees, charging liens or retaining liens. So I  
13 don't know of any difference between discharging a lawyer and  
14 allowing and granting an application by a lawyer to withdraw  
15 from representing you. But I don't decide legal questions  
16 until they're briefed on the facts and the law. If you think  
17 that you have any rights against the Fuchsberg firm, you are  
18 welcome to consult with any other lawyers. You are welcome to  
19 consult with the legal assistance group across the street.

20           The only issue at the moment is should the Fuchsberg  
21 firm continue to represent you. And it seems pretty imminently  
22 clear that they do not want to continue to represent you and  
23 you don't want them to continue to represent you, and you  
24 should be given the opportunity to get a new lawyer or to  
25 represent yourself in the case.

J60AADENC

Conference

1 MS. DENICOLOR: I have made several attempts to contact  
2 several lawyers in the whistleblowing retaliation area  
3 especially. And it doesn't seem that they're open to reviewing  
4 this case. And I think it's pretty much -- I think that there  
5 were things that should have been set up at the beginning with  
6 the lawyer from Mr. Fuchsberg firm that were set up -- it  
7 turned out to be a termination case in the end. So there lies  
8 the problem for me. I just want to understand, your Honor. I  
9 just so have some questions if you can just assist me with some  
10 answers regarding the legality of this situation and  
11 withdrawal.

12 So if my attorney withdraws from the retaliation case  
13 can it be opened at a later time?

14 THE COURT: It's not closed.

15 MS. DENICOLOR: Is there a statute of limitation in  
16 terms of when and what would constitute the case to be closed?

17 THE COURT: I know what you are saying. The statute  
18 of limitations is tolled from the time that the case is brought  
19 until the time that the case is closed. And the case, as I  
20 said earlier, is not being closed just because your lawyer  
21 withdraws. The normal procedure that occurs is the case is  
22 stayed for a period of time, 30 days, 60 days to allow in this  
23 case you to get a new lawyer. And if you're not able to get a  
24 new lawyer, you're welcome to continue the case pro se  
25 representing yourself.

J6OAADENC

Conference

1           When would the case be closed? The case would be  
2 closed if after given the opportunity to get a new lawyer or to  
3 continue the case yourself pro se so say which you can do, if at  
4 some point you stop, you don't continue with the case --

5           MS. DENICOLA: How much time would that be? You're  
6 saying 30 days. Would it be a year?

7           THE COURT: You're not following me precisely. So  
8 what I would do is I would enter an order which would say  
9 plaintiff's application by Fuchsberg firm to withdraw as  
10 counsel for the plaintiff. The case is stayed for 30 days to  
11 allow the plaintiff to seek new counsel. After 30 days the  
12 plaintiff must appear by new counsel or appear pro se. If the  
13 plaintiff appears pro se the plaintiff should provide an  
14 address at which documents can be served on the plaintiff.

15           So if you're representing yourself, the case goes on  
16 with you representing yourself and the defendants then would  
17 have the right to serve you with papers rather than serving  
18 your lawyer with papers.

19           If you needed more than 30 days to get a new lawyer,  
20 you write me a letter saying I need another than 30 days and I  
21 would usually grant such an application. Then you would have  
22 30 more days to get a lawyer. And if you didn't get a lawyer  
23 by that time, you just file a paper saying you're representing  
24 yourself in this case and papers may be served on you.

25           I would urge you to talk to the legal assistance group

J60AADENC

Conference

1 across the street who provide legal assistance to people who  
2 are representing themselves pro se and then the case proceeds.  
3 And you have to, if you are not represented by a lawyer at that  
4 point, you have to represent yourself.

5 MS. DENICOLO: I have to represent myself or what if I  
6 don't want to represent myself?

7 THE COURT: If you don't want -- this is your case.

8 MS. DENICOLO: I know.

9 THE COURT: So it's Denicolo against the Board of  
10 Education, OK. So if you don't get a lawyer and you choose not  
11 to represent yourself, you can discontinue the case. And  
12 again, I urge you to talk to the legal assistance group across  
13 the street with respect to your various options. You're the  
14 plaintiff. You do not have to continue the case. It's up to  
15 you. You can talk to the legal assistance group about what the  
16 various consequences are.

17 I realize this is not the only action that involves  
18 you. I decided a motion in the case in which I found that you  
19 are not collaterally estopped from pursuing this case based  
20 upon other proceedings that you had. But it's up to you of  
21 course as the plaintiff whether you want to continue the case  
22 or not.

23 MR. HYNES: Well, if I'm having difficulty finding an  
24 attorney, it's not that I don't want a continue. I'm at this  
25 point, based on all of these documents here that I just feel



J60AADENC

Conference

1 like and I just feel discouraged at this point. So I'm just  
2 speculating how to look forward to my options.

3 THE COURT: Right. I am the judge, right. So I have  
4 to make sure that the case proceeds fairly as between the  
5 plaintiff and the defendant. So I can't give legal advice. I  
6 certainly can explain what's going on before me. And I can't  
7 stress enough that you should talk to the legal assistance  
8 group across the street.

9 Are you familiar with the legal assistance group?

10 MS. DENICOLO: No.

11 THE COURT: Let me give you a flyer and I'll give a  
12 copy also to the defendant with the details of the legal  
13 assistance group.

14 MS. DENICOLO: Thank you, your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Because they're located across the street  
16 in the Thurgood Marshal courthouse and they actually do provide  
17 legal advice to people who are representing themselves. So you  
18 can talk to them both about what they can do in terms of  
19 references to get a lawyer and also about what your various  
20 options are in the case.

21 MS. DENICOLO: OK. Just one more question.

22 THE COURT: Sure.

23 MS. DENICOLO: How does the OCR disability claim  
24 relate to the retaliation claim? If you can somewhat speak to  
25 that or not?

J6OAADENC

Conference

1 THE COURT: All right. That's something I can't  
2 answer for you because I can't give legal advice.

3 MS. DENICOLA: OK. All right. Your Honor, I  
4 appreciate your time and your assistance.

5 THE COURT: OK.

6 MS. DENICOLA: And patience.

7 THE COURT: Well, I will enter an order which says  
8 that the application by the Fuchsberg firm to withdraw as  
9 counsel for plaintiff is granted.

10 The case is stayed until July 26 to allow the  
11 plaintiff to seek new counsel or to represent herself.

12 The plaintiff must appear by new counsel by July 26,  
13 2019 or file a notice that she is representing herself and  
14 provide an address at which papers can be served.

15 The Fuchsberg firm is not seeking any retaining lien  
16 or charging lean for any alleged unpaid fees in the case, fees  
17 or costs in the case.

18 MS. DENICOLA: Your Honor, I just want to be clear on  
19 one thing.

20 THE COURT: Sure.

21 MS. DENICOLA: So in their withdrawal and in their  
22 withdrawing, are they still somewhat involved when I hire a new  
23 attorney or are they just completely separated from the case  
24 total?

25 MR. HYNES: Your Honor, I would just say that we would

J60AADENC

Conference

1 gradually transfer the file and I would gladly personally  
2 because I know the case very well discuss with any other  
3 attorney any other information they need that's within my  
4 knowledge and have no issues doing that but I just wanted it to  
5 be clear.

6 THE COURT: OK. Is there anything else you would like  
7 to say?

8 MR. HYNES: No, your Honor. Just that we put in  
9 specific reasons to withdraw. Ms. Denicolo didn't exactly  
10 address those. She addressed other reasons. I think all of  
11 them go to --

12 MS. DENICOLO: No.

13 MR. HYNES: There are some conflicts that I would add.  
14 We have no lien. Gladly assist in getting Ms. Denicolo the  
15 file and discussing with either retained attorneys or attorneys  
16 looking to find out more information. I'll gladly discuss the  
17 case with them. That's all, your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Ms. Denicolo, do you want the Fuchsberg  
19 firm to transfer their full file to you or do you want to look  
20 for a new lawyer and ask that they transfer the file to a new  
21 lawyer if you find one? They've expressed willingness not only  
22 to turnover the file but to talk to any new lawyer about the  
23 case to help acquaint them. You may or may not want them to do  
24 that but it's up to you.

25 MS. DENICOLO: Yes, I would rather they not do that.

J6OAADENC

Conference

1 THE COURT: Again, I can't give you legal advice. But  
2 if you want them to transfer the file to you, that's what  
3 they'll do. You'll have the full file then and you can provide  
4 that to any lawyers that you're talking to about taking your  
5 case.

6 MS. DENICOLA: Yes, I would prefer that, your Honor.

7 THE COURT: OK. So we'll put that in the order also.  
8 The Fuchsberg firm should promptly deliver its file to the  
9 plaintiff. But you should make sure to keep that file  
10 Ms. Denicola in a way that can be easily transferred and  
11 reviewed by a new lawyer.

12 MS. DENICOLA: OK.

13 THE COURT: OK.

14 MS. DENICOLA: All right, your Honor.

15 THE COURT: OK. Defendant want to add anything to  
16 this? I think not.

17 MS. SOULIOPOLOUS: No, your Honor.

18 THE COURT: OK. All right. Thank you, all.

19 (Adjourned)  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25